

continuity of counsel and to ensure reasonable time necessary for the effective preparation of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

DATED: October 6, 2015

Respectfully Submitted,

BRIAN J. STRETCH
Acting United States Attorney

/s/ Lloyd Farnham
LLOYD FARNHAM
Assistant United States Attorney

OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL
PUBLIC DEFENDER

/s/ Ellen Leonida
ELLEN LEONIDA
Attorney for Defendant Abdulkarim Hassan Abdi

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from October 6, 2015, through and including October 20, 2015, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 19, 2015.


HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
United States District Court Judge